# On the First Verse of Vasubandhu's Vimśikā

## Miwa Satoshi

#### 1. Introduction

Both at the beginning and in the first verse of his *Viṃśikā* (Viṃś) and his autocommentary *Viṃśikāvṛtti* (ViṃśV), Vasubandhu says manifestation-only (\*vijñaptimātra) in the first verse and the beginning. Both the Sanskrit manuscript of the *Viṃśikā* (Viṃś (MS)) and its Tibetan translation (Viṃś (Tib)) have the first verse, but the Tibetan translation of the *Viṃśikāvṛtti* (ViṃśV (Tib)) does not have the first verse and presents a similar sentence in prose. Among the Chinese translations the first verse is found in the translations of Prajñāruci and Paramārtha, while Xuanzang's translation does not have the first verse. Harada (2003, 150) discusses the presence or absence of the first verse of the *Viṃśikā* and concluded, "at least the first verse of Sanskrit text of the *Viṃśikā* was not composed by Vasubandhu himself, but may have been newly created and added for some reason by a later editor when he edited the *Viṃśikā* by extracting the verses from the *Viṃśikāvṛtti*."

Therefore, in this paper, I would like to consider the existing texts of the  $Vimsik\bar{a}$ , translations and commentaries, and present what I have found from them.

# 2. A comparison of Viṃśikā and their translations

As noted above, some texts and translations have the first verse but some do not. Therefore, I classify the presence or absence of the first verse, and whether the sentence is in verse or prose, is as follows.

First verse exists (verse)	Without first verse (prose)
Viṃś (MS), Viṃś (Tib), Weishi lun, Dasheng weishi lun. Both of these have 22 verses.	ViṃśV (Tib), Weishi ershi lun. Both of these have 21 verses.

Corresponding sentences related to the first verse are as follows.

#### Vimś 3.5:

vijñaptimātram evedam asadarthāvabhāsanāt  $\mid$  yadvat taimirakasyāsatkeśoṇḍūkādidarśanam  $\mid\mid^{2)}$  Viṃś (Tib) 4.1–4: 'di dag rnam par rig tsam nyid  $\mid$  yod pa ma yin don snang phyir  $\mid$  dper na rab rib can dag gis  $\mid$  skra zla la sogs med mthong bzhin  $\mid\mid^{3}$ 

ViṃśV (Tib) 30.10–11: rnam par shes pa 'di nyid don du snang ba 'byung ste | dper na rab rib can rnams kyis skra zla la sogs pa med par mthong ba bzhin te |<sup>4)</sup>

When comparing the Vimś (Tib) and VimśV (Tib), there are clear differences, starting with the translation, as in *rnam par rig tsam* (\*vijñaptimātra) and *rnam par shes pa* (\*vijñāna). As for Dunhuang manuscripts, although some of sentences are different in phrasing, they are in agreement whether they are in verse or prose.<sup>5)</sup> In addition, in the *Weishi lum* translated by Prajñāruci (T. 1588. 31) and *Dasheng weishi lum* translated by Paramārtha (T. 1589. 31), the section is presented as a verse,<sup>6)</sup> and a summary of only the 22 verses are given at the beginning of the *Weishi lum* and at the end of *Dasheng weishi lum*.<sup>7)</sup> These summaries probably correspond to the Vimś. On the other hand, in the *Weishi ershi lum* translated by Xuanzang (T. 1590. 31), this part, as noted above, is in prose,<sup>8)</sup> and there is no summary of just the verses, as in the *Weishi lum*. This suggests that Xuanzang likely did not refer to the Vimś when translating the *Vimśikā*.

## 3. Vinītadeva's commentary

We shall now consider the description of the commentary of the  $Vimsik\bar{a}$ . The commentary on the section in the  $Vimsi\bar{\gamma}$  (\* $Prakaranavimsik\bar{a}t\bar{i}k\bar{a}$ ) by  $Vin\bar{\imath}tadeva$  is as follows.

rnam par shes pa 'di nyid don du snang bar 'byung ste zhes 'og nas 'byung ba yang de dang 'gal ba med do || ... gang gis snang snyam du dogs pa de la rnam par shes pa 'di nyid du snang zhes bya ba la sogs pa smos so || ... 'dir dpe bstan pa'i phyir | dper na rab rib can rnams kyis zhes bya ba la sogs pa smos te | (ViṃśṬ, D 173b7-174b6; P 204b1-205b4)

It is not inconsistent with the later sentence "this perception (\*vijñāna) manifests itself as an object."... For those who doubt what is manifested by what, it is said that "this perception manifests itself [as an object]." To present an example, it is said "for example, by Taimirika."

In the commentary to the beginning of the  $Vim\acute{s}ik\bar{a}$  in the  $Vim\acute{s}T$ , a phrase similar to the first verse of the  $Vim\acute{s}$  is quoted as prose rather than verse,  $rnam\ par\ shes\ pa$  as in the  $Vim\acute{s}V$  (Tib). From this it can be assumed that, like Xuanzang,  $Vin\bar{\imath}tadeva$  may not have

been referring to the Vimś.

#### 4. Vairocanaraksita's gloss

Vairocanaraksita's gloss *Vimśikāţīkāvivṛti* states as follows (Kano 2008, 349).

nārthaḥ kaścid astītyantenārthavyākhyayā prathamakārikā bhāṣyeṇa vyākhyātā | bhāṣyakāreṇoktāpy avyākhyātatvān na śāstrakārasyeyam iti kaścit | tan na vedmi || By the commentaries up to *nārthaḥ kaścid asti*, the first verse is explained by explanation of meaning. Someone says, "Although this [first verse] was said by the author of the commentary, since [this verse] is not explained, it is not by the author of the text (Vasubandhu).<sup>9)</sup>

What is interesting about the above is that there are some theories that do not attribute the first verse to Vasubandhu. It is not clear to whom the "someone" here refers to, but it is clear that some people questioned whether the first verse of the Viṃś was by Vasubandhu. The description of the Viṃśikāṭīkāvivṛṭi suggests that, as Harada 2003 mentions, Vasubandhu's authorship of the first verse may be questionable.

#### 5. [Kui]Ji's commentary

In [Kui]Ji's Weishi ershi lun shuji (T. 1834. 43), as has been pointed out in the previous studies, there is a criticism of the Prajñāruci and Paramārtha translations. <sup>10)</sup> The criticism is that the two translations renders passages in verse where they should not be in verse. It is not clear what the "three Sanskrit texts 三梵本" that [Kui]Ji mentions is referring to, but there is no corresponding to the Viṃś among the Sanskrit texts that [Kui]Ji referred to when he wrote the Weishi ershi lun shuji. Therefore, it can be assumed that he did not refer to the Viṃś in the same way as Xuanzang.

#### 6. Conclusion

Finally, the correspondence of the texts related to the  $Vim\acute{s}ik\bar{a}$  which are mentioned above is as follows.

Corresponding to Viṃś	Viṃś (MS), Viṃś (Tib)
Corresponding to ViṃśV	Weishi ershi lun, Viṃś (Tib), ViṃśṬ, Weishi ershi lun shuji
Corresponding to both	Weishi lun, Dasheng weishi lun

A discrepancy in the number of verses in the *Viṃśikā* seems to have much to do with the authorship problem of the first verse mentioned in the *Viṃśikāṭīkāvivṛti* and in Harada

2003. In addition, if we look at the texts corresponding to the VimśV, the author of the Weishi ershi lun, VimśṬ, Weishi ershi lun shuji are Xuanzang, Vinītadeva, and [Kui]Ji and all of them are related to Nālandā. Therefore, it is highly likely that the Vimś was not available to the scholar-monks in Nālandā.

Notes

- 1) Other studies on the first verse of *Viṃśikā* include Hanneder 2007 etc. 2) A similar verse is quoted in *Lokatattvanirṇaya*. See Silk 2016, 152. 3) For the relevant sentence of Dunhuang manuscript, see Silk 2016, 4. 4) For the relevant sentence of Dunhuang manuscript, see Silk 2017, 344. 5) See Harada 2003, 134. 6) *Weishi lun* (T. 1588. 31, 64b): 如偈言,唯識無境界故.引證者,如偈言,以無塵妄見故.譬喩者,如偈言,如人目有譽見毛月等事故. *Dasheng weishi lun* (T. 1589. 31, 70c): 實無有外塵,似塵識生故,猶如譽眼人,見毛二月等.
- 7) Weishi lun (T. 1588. 31, 63c): 唯識無境界,以無塵妄見,如人目有臀,見毛月等事. Dasheng weishi lun (T. 1589. 31, 74a): 實無有外塵,似塵識生故,猶如臀眼人,見毛兩月等. See also Harada 2003, 136-138. 8) T. 1590. 31, 74b-c: 内識生時似外境現,如有眩臀見髮蠅等.
- 9) For a translation, see Kano 2008, 353. 10) T. 1834. 43, 982b: 真諦頌云,實無有外塵,似塵識生故,猶如翳眼人,見毛兩月等. 菩提流支云,唯識無境界,以無虚妄見,如人目有翳,見毛月等事,理雖不違,勘三梵本,並無此頌,但譯家增論.

#### Abbreviations

Viṃś Viṃśikā (Vasubandhu). See Silk 2016.

Vimś (MS) Sanskrit manuscript of the Vimś.

Viṃś (Tib) Tibetan translation of the Viṃś. See Silk 2016.

ViṃśṬ Prakaraṇaviṃśikāṭīkā (Vinītadeva). D 4065; P 5556.

ViṃśV Viṃśikāvṛtti (Vasubandhu). See Silk 2016. VimśV (Tib) Tibetan translation of the VimśV. See Silk 2016.

### **Bibliography**

Hanneder, Jürgen. 2007. "Vasubandhus Vimsatikā 1-2 anhand der Sanskrit- und tibetischen Fassungen." In *Indica et Tibetica: Festschrift für Michael Hahn*, ed. Konrad Klaus and Jens-Uwe Hartmann, 207-214. WSTB 66. Wien: Universität Wien.

Harada Wasō 原田和宗. 2003. "Yuishiki nijūron nōto 3"『唯識二十論』ノート (3). Kyūshū Ryūkoku Tanki Daigaku kiyō 九州龍谷短期大学紀要 49: 131-188.

Kano, Kazuo. 2008. "Two Short Glosses on Yogācāra texts by Vairocanarakṣita: Vimsikāṭīkāvivṛti and \*Dharmadharmatāvibhāgavivṛti." In Part I of Sanskrit Texts from Giuseppe Tucci's Collection, ed. Francesco Sferra, 343–380. Rome: IsIAO.

Silk, Jonathan A. 2016. Materials Toward the Study of Vasubandhu's Viińśikā (1): Sanskrit and Tibetan Critical Editions of the Verses and Autocommentary; An English Translation and Annotations. Harvard Oriental Series 81. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

— . 2017. "Materials Toward the Study of Vasubandhu's *Viinśikā* (II): An edition of the Dunhuang Manuscript Pelliot tibétain 797." *Revue d'Etudes Tibétaines* 39: 342–360.

Key words Vinītadeva, Xuanzang, Paramārtha, Prajñāruci

(Graduate Student, Otani University)