Journal of Indian and Buddhist Studies Vol. 37, No. 2, March 1989

A comparative study of Saddharmapuṇḍarīkasūtra and Mahābhārata

——concentrating on *Tathāgatāyuṣpramāṇa* parivarta and Bhagavad-Gītā——

Norio Sekido

The Saddharmapuṇḍarīka-sūtra (\langle S. D. P.) and Mahābhārata (\langle MBh.) belong to the separate religious system, Buddhism and Hinduism, but the Chapter XV-Tathāgatāyuṣpramāṇaparivarta (\langle T. P. P.) of S. D. P. and Bhagavad-Gītā (\langle Gītā) the Chapter XXV to XXXXII of Bhīṣma Parva in MBh. are somewhat similar in contents. So, in the present paper an attempt has been made to put a glance on this similarity.

The word "puṇḍarīka" used in S. D. P. was directly influenced by the Kṛṣṇa-cult of the Vedānta¹⁾ and $Gītā^{2)}$. But, in the opinion of Maurice Winternitze, it is the spirit of the Purāṇas of which we are reminded by every line of the S. D. P., at the times the Buddha of the S. D. P. reminds us of the Kṛṣṇa of the $Gīt\bar{a}^{3}$. Futhermore, according to Prof. K. A. Nilakanta Sastri and the fellow scholars, it contains many good passages that have paralleled in the $Gīt\bar{a}^{4}$.

The Chapters I to XXII of the S. D. P. came into existence sometimes between 40 and 220 A. D.⁵⁾, on the other hand. $G\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$ was composed about before the Christian Era and it was inserted in the MBh. in the beginning of Christian Era⁶⁾.

Buddha makes a show of it and appears in the world of the living beings according to the S. D. P.

rjū yadā te mrdu mārdavāśca utsrstakāmaśca bhavanti sattvāḥ / tato aham śrāvakasaṃgha krtvā ātmāna darśemyahu grdhrakūte // sadādhiṣthānam mama etadīdrśāṃ acintyā kalpasahasrakotyaḥ /

na ca cyavāmi itu grdhrakūtāt anyāsu śayyāsanakotib
hiśca $/\!/^{7)}$

The same thing has been said in other words, in the teachings of Kṛṣṇa

in the Gītā⁸⁾.

On the other place in S. D. P., Buddha says that I am everything in this world, as I am a doctor, father of this world and master of all the subjects.

yameva ham lokapitā svayambhūh cikitsakah sarvaprajāna nāthah /

viparīta mūdrāmśca vidītva bālan anirvrto nirvrta daršayāmi //9)

In $G\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$ also Kṛṣṇa says that I am everything in this world, as the father of this world, mother despenser and the grandfather etc.

pitā 'ham asya jagato mātā dhātā pitāmahah /

vedyam pavitram aumkāra rk sāma yajur eva ca //¹⁰⁾

But in one place Krsna considers himself as the medicine not a doctor like Buddha in S. D. P.

aham kratur aham yajñaḥ svadhā 'ham aham auṣadham / mantro 'ham aham evā 'jyam aham agnir aham hutam //¹¹⁾

About this context Kuamārajīva says in his chinese translation of S.D.P. that Buddha considers himself a doctor for all the living beings and he is the best among the doctors who gives the supernatural medicine for the liberation of entire living creatures of this universe¹².

Through the above-said instances it is clear that T.P.P. is the book of śraddhā on Buddha as well as Gitā is a book of bhakti yoga related to Kṛṣṇa, clarified their positions by saying that they make the show of their own and they themselves appear in the world.

Futhermore they put the stress that whole world is their own form but in this context Buddha goes one step forward by saying that he is a doctor not the medicine as Kṛṣṇa says. This whole discussion shows that Buddha and Kṛṣṇa can be interpreted as the Saguṇa forms of the supreme being so both of these books namely T.P.P. and $G\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$ are the books of śraddhā and bhakti yoga respectirely.

The term śraddhā is indicated in T. P. P.¹³) On the other hand, bhaktiyoga can be seen in Gitā. The Gītā recognizes the value of faith or śraddhā in whole of its manifestation. But the bhakti yoga with its various states, is possible only through parā-śraddhā, which is free from trigunas

-1010-

(16) A comparative study (N. Sekido)

(satva, rajas and tamas), therefore this nirguna (Avyakta i. e. unmanifest) has the indiscribable relation, with bhakti or parā-śraddhā which is supposed to be worshiped. Moreover the Gītā also deals with an another category of devotion wellknown as sāttvikī-śraddhā. In this way, the nature of śraddhā and bhakti is almost dependent to each other in MBh. as well as S. D. P.. Both of these have their roots in Adhimukti, according to the S. D. P..

- 1) The Krsna theory of Rāmānuja or Viśistādvaita.
- J. N. Farqhar : An outline of the religious literature of India, London 1920, p. 114 f.
- Maurice Winternitz: A History of Indian Literature, voli II, translated by V. Srinivasa Sarma, Delhi, 1983, p. 289~290 ff.
- K. A. Nilakanta Sastri : A Comprehensive History of India, New Delhi, 1987, p. 391 f.
- 5) Prof. H. Nakamura : Indian Buddhism, Delhi, 1987, p. 187 f.
- 6) See reference No. 4.
- S. D. P., chapter XV st., 6, 10.: Sanskrit Manuscripts of S. D. P. collected from Nepal, Kashmir and Cental Asia, vol. IX, pp. cf. Kern Nanjo edition, pp. 315~326.
- 8) Gītā., chapter IV st., 6~8.: The Mahābhārata, vol. VI Critical edition, Poona.
- 9) S. D. P., chapter XV st., 21., op. cit.
- 10) Gītā., chapter IX st., 17.
- 11) Ibid., chapter IX st., 16.
- 12) Taisho Tripitaka. vol. IX pp. 43, bc~44, a.
- 13) Gītā, chap. XVII, śraddhayā parayā. cf. Tibetan Tripitaka. Peking edition vol. XXX, p. 56-3-5~p. 58-4-3, It seems that the Tibetan translation took śraddhā as dad-pa.
- (Key Words) Gītā, Bhakti, Puņdarīka, śraddhā.

(Ph. D. Candidate, Univ. of Delhi)